Predicting Emergent Behavior in Cardiac Tissue: A Grand Challenge

Radu Grosu SUNY at Stony Brook

Joint work with

Ezio Bartocci, Gregory Batt, Flavio H. Fenton, James Glimm, Colas Le Guernic, and Scott A. Smolka

- Generate action potentials (elec. pulses)
 in response to electrical stimulation
 - Examples: neurons, cardiac cells, etc.
- Local regeneration allows electric signal propagation without damping
- Building block for electrical signaling in brain, heart, and muscles



- Generate action potentials (elec. pulses) in response to electrical stimulation
 - Examples: neurons, cardiac cells, etc.
- Local regeneration allows electric signal propagation without damping
- Building block for electrical signaling in brain, heart, and muscles



Neurons of a squirrel University College London



Artificial cardiac tissue University of Washington

- Generate action potentials (elec. pulses) in response to electrical stimulation
 - Examples: neurons, cardiac cells, etc.
- Local regeneration allows electric signal propagation without damping
- Building block for electrical signaling in brain, heart, and muscles



Neurons of a squirrel University College London



Artificial cardiac tissue University of Washington

- Generate action potentials (elec. pulses) in response to electrical stimulation
 - Examples: neurons, cardiac cells, etc.
- Local regeneration allows electric signal propagation without damping
- Building block for electrical signaling in brain, heart, and muscles



Neurons of a squirrel University College London



Artificial cardiac tissue University of Washington

Membrane's AP depends on:

- Stimulus (voltage or current):
 - External / Neighboring cells
- Cell itself (excitable or not):
 - State / Parameters value



Membrane's AP depends on:

- Stimulus (voltage or current):
 - External / Neighboring cells
- Cell itself (excitable or not):
 - State / Parameters value



Membrane's AP depends on:

- Stimulus (voltage or current):
 - External / Neighboring cells
- Cell itself (excitable or not):
 - State / Parameters value

voltage Threshold Stimulus failed initiation **Resting potential** time

Membrane's AP depends on:

- Stimulus (voltage or current):
 - External / Neighboring cells
- Cell itself (excitable or not):
 - State / Parameters value



Membrane's AP depends on:

- Stimulus (voltage or current):
 - External / Neighboring cells
- Cell itself (excitable or not):
 - State / Parameters value

Tissue: Reaction / diffusion

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} = R(\mathbf{u}) + \nabla(D\nabla \mathbf{u})$$



Membrane's AP depends on:

- Stimulus (voltage or current):
 - External / Neighboring cells
- Cell itself (excitable or not):
 - State / Parameters value

Tissue: Reaction / diffusion





Membrane's AP depends on:

- Stimulus (voltage or current):
 - External / Neighboring cells
- Cell itself (excitable or not):
 - State / Parameters value

Tissue: Reaction / diffusion





Membrane's AP depends on:

- Stimulus (voltage or current):
 - External / Neighboring cells
- Cell itself (excitable or not):
 - State / Parameters value

Tissue: Reaction / diffusion

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} = R(\mathbf{u}) + \nabla (D\nabla \mathbf{u})$$



Emergent Behavior in Cardiac Cells



Arrhythmia afflicts more than 3 million Americans alone

The Grand SB-Challenge



Iyer-Mazhari-Winslow-04

Variables: 67 Parameters: 94

- Latest experimental data
- Multi-affine ODE (MA law)

Tusscher-Noble²-Panfilov-03

Variables: 17 Parameters: 44

Less Detailed Ionic Model

- Recent experimental data
- Sigmoidal ODE (Luo-Rudi)

lyer-Mazhari-Winslow-04

Variables: 67

Parameters: 94

- Latest experimental data
- Multi-affine ODE (MA law)

Orovio-Cherry-Fenton-08

Variables: 4 Parameters: 27



lyer-Mazhari-Winslow-04

Variables: 67

Parameters: 94

Minimal Ionic Model

- Maesoscopic behavior
- Sigmoidal ODE (Luo-Rudi)

Less Detailed Ionic Model

- Recent experimental data
- Sigmoidal ODE (Luo-Rudi)

- Latest experimental data
- Multi-affine ODE (MA law)



Minimal Ionic Model

- Maesoscopic behavior
- Sigmoidal ODE (Luo-Rudi)

Less Detailed Ionic Model

- Recent experimental data
- Sigmoidal ODE (Luo-Rudi)

- Latest experimental data
- Multi-affine ODE (MA law)



Enzymatic Reactions (QSSA)

$$E + S \stackrel{k_1}{\to} \ddot{A} \stackrel{k_1}{\to} C \rightarrow E + P$$



Enzymatic Reactions (QSSA)

$$E + S \xrightarrow{k_{-1}} \ddot{\mathsf{A}} \stackrel{k_1}{\longrightarrow} C \xrightarrow{k_2} E + P$$

Using the Law of Mass Action (MA): $d[C] / dt = k_1[E][S] - (k_{-1} + k_2)[C]$ $d[P] / dt = k_2[C]$



Enzymatic Reactions (QSSA)

$$E + S \xrightarrow{k_{-1}} \ddot{\mathsf{A}} \stackrel{k_1}{\longrightarrow} C \xrightarrow{k_2} E + P$$

Using the Law of Mass Action (MA): $d[C] / dt = k_1[E][S] - (k_{-1} + k_2)[C]$ $d[P] / dt = k_2[C]$

Assume E = S and express E:

 $[C] = k_1 / (k_{-1} + k_2)[E][S]$ $[E] = [E_t] - [C]$



Enzymatic Reactions (QSSA)

$$E + S \xrightarrow{k_{-1}} \ddot{\mathsf{A}} \stackrel{k_1}{\longrightarrow} C \xrightarrow{k_2} E + P$$

Using the Law of Mass Action (MA): $d[C] / dt = k_1[E][S] - (k_{-1} + k_2)[C]$ $d[P] / dt = k_2[C]$

Assume E = S and express E:

 $[C] = k_1 / (k_{-1} + k_2)[E][S]$ $[E] = [E_t] - [C]$

Michaelis-Menten Relation (Sigmoidal):

$$d[P] / dt = a / (1 + e^{-(u-\theta)}) = a \mathbf{S}^+(u,\theta,1)$$

$$u = \ln[S], \ a = k_2 E_t, \ \theta = \ln(k_{-1} + k_2) / k_1$$

Simulation: Hardware and Dimension



Simulation: Hardware and Dimension



Simulation: Hardware and Dimension



NVIDIA GPU



Param. Estim: Hardware and Dimension



Param. Estim: Hardware and Dimension



Lack of Excitability: Implications

Stimulus: bottom row, every 300ms

🏟 Applicazioni Risorse Sistema 🥹 🕐	🚯 🗘 Applicazioni Risorse Sistema
🛞 🖨 🗐 Minimal Model (4 Variables)	🛞 🖨 💷 Minimal Model (4 Variables)
	· ·
	Obstacle of UT
NO Upstacle	

Problem to Solve

- What circumstances lead to a loss of excitability?
- What parameter ranges reproduce loss of excitability?

Problem to Solve

- What circumstances lead to a loss of excitability?
- What parameter ranges reproduce loss of excitability?

Problem to Solve

- What circumstances lead to a loss of excitability?
- What parameter ranges reproduce loss of excitability?



Biological Switching



Minimal Resistor Model: Voltage ODE

 $\dot{u}(u, v, w, s) = \nabla (D\nabla u) - (J_{fi}(u, v) + J_{si}(u, w, s) + J_{so}(u))$

Minimal Resistor Model: Voltage ODE

$$\dot{u}(u,v,w,s) = \nabla(D\nabla u) - (J_{fi}(u,v) + J_{si}(u,w,s) + J_{so}(u))$$

$$\overset{\diamond}{\mathsf{Voltage}}$$
Rate

Minimal Resistor Model: Voltage ODE


Minimal Resistor Model: Voltage ODE



Minimal Resistor Model: Voltage ODE



Minimal Resistor Model: Voltage ODE



$$J_{fi}(u,v) = -H^{+}(u,\theta_{v}) (u - \theta_{v})(u_{u} - u)v / \tau_{fi}$$

$$J_{si}(u,w,s) = -H^{+}(u,\theta_{w}) ws / \tau_{si}$$

$$J_{so}(u) = H^{-}(u,\theta_{w}) u / \tau_{o}(u) + H^{+}(u,\theta_{w}) / \tau_{so}(u)$$

$$\dot{u}(u,v,w,s) = \nabla(D\nabla u) \underbrace{\text{Heaviside}}_{(\text{step})} v,s) + J_{so}(u))$$

$$J_{fi}(u,v) = -H^{+}(u,\theta_{v}) (u - \theta_{v})(u_{u} - u)v / \tau_{fi}$$

$$J_{si}(u,w,s) = -H^{+}(u,\theta_{w}) ws / \tau_{si}$$

$$J_{so}(u) = H^{-}(u,\theta_{w}) u / \tau_{o}(u) + H^{+}(u,\theta_{w}) / \tau_{so}(u)$$

$$\dot{u}(u,v,w,s) = \nabla(D\nabla u) - (J_{fi}(u,v) + J_{si}(u,w,s))$$
Constant
Resistanc
 $J_{fi}(u,v) = -H^{+}(u,\theta_{v}) (u - \theta_{v})(u_{u} - u)v / \tau_{fi}$
 $J_{si}(u,w,s) = -H^{+}(u,\theta_{w}) ws / \tau_{si}$
 $J_{so}(u) = H^{-}(u,\theta_{w}) u / \tau_{o}(u) + H^{+}(u,\theta_{w}) / \tau_{so}(u)$







$$J_{fi}(u,v) = -H^{+}(u,\theta_{v}) (u - \theta_{v})(u_{u} - u)v / \tau_{fi}$$

$$J_{si}(u,w,s) = -H^{+}(u,\theta_{w}) ws / \tau_{si}$$

$$J_{so}(u) = H^{-}(u,\theta_{w}) u / \tau_{o}(u) + H^{+}(u,\theta_{w}) / \tau_{so}(u)$$

$$Piecewise$$
Nonlinear

MRM: Gates ODEs

$$J_{fi}(u,v) = -H^{+}(u,\theta_{v},0,1) (u-\theta_{v})(u_{u}-u)v / \tau_{fi}$$

$$J_{si}(u,w,s) = -H^{+}(u,\theta_{w},0,1) ws / \tau_{si}$$

$$J_{so}(u) = H^{-}(u,\theta_{w},0,1) u / \tau_{o}(u) + H^{+}(u,\theta_{w},0,1) / \tau_{so}(u)$$

$$\dot{v}(u,v) = H^{-}(u,\theta_{v}) (v_{\infty}-v) / \tau_{v}^{-}(u) - H^{+}(u,\theta_{v})v / \tau_{v}^{+}$$

$$\mathfrak{S}(u,w) = H^{-}(u,\theta_{w})(w_{\infty}-w) / \tau_{w}^{-}(u) - H^{+}(u,\theta_{w})w / \tau_{w}^{+}$$

$$\mathfrak{S}(u,s) = (S^{+}(u,u_{s},k_{s})-s) / \tau_{s}(u)$$

MRM: Gates ODEs

$$J_{fi}(u,v) = -H^{+}(u,\theta_{v},0,1) \quad (u-\theta_{v})(u_{u}-u)v / \tau_{fi}$$

$$J_{si}(u,w,s) = -H^{+}(u,\theta_{w},0,1) \quad ws / \tau_{si}$$

$$J_{so}(u) = H^{-}(u,\theta_{w},0,1) \quad u / \tau_{o}(u)$$
Resistance so(u)
$$\dot{v}(u,v) = H^{-}(u,\theta_{v}) (v_{\infty}-v) / \tau_{v}^{-}(u) - H^{+}(u,\theta_{v})v / \tau_{v}^{+}$$

$$\mathcal{Q}(u,w) = H^{-}(u,\theta_{w})(w_{\infty}-w) / \tau_{w}^{-}(u) - H^{+}(u,\theta_{w})w / \tau_{w}^{+}$$

$$\mathcal{Q}(u,s) = (S^{+}(u,u_{s},k_{s})-s) / \tau_{s}(u)$$
Piecewise
Resistance
Resistance

MRM: Gates ODEs

$$J_{fi}(u,v) = -H^{+}(u,\theta_{v},0,1) (u - \theta_{v})(u_{u} - u)v / \tau_{fi}$$

$$J_{si}(u,w,s) = -H^{+}(u,\theta_{w},0,1) ws / \tau_{si}$$

$$J_{so}(u) = H^{-}(u,\theta_{w},0,1) u / \tau_{o}(u) + H^{+}(u,\theta_{w},0,1) / \tau_{so}(u)$$

$$\dot{v}(u,v) = H^{-}(u,\theta_{v}) (v_{\infty} - v) / \tau_{v}^{-}(u) - H^{+}(u,\theta_{v})v / \tau_{v}^{+}$$

$$\mathfrak{E}(u,w) = H^{-}(u,\theta_{w})(w_{\infty} - w) / \tau_{w}^{-}(u) - H^{+}(u,\theta_{w})w / \tau_{w}^{+}$$

$$\mathfrak{E}(u,s) = (S^{+}(u,u_{s},k_{s}) - s) / \tau_{s}(u)$$
Sigmoid
$$\mathfrak{E}(u,s) = S^{+}(u,u_{s},k_{s}) - s / \tau_{s}(u)$$

$$\mathfrak{E}(u,s) = S^{+}(u,u_{s},k_{s}) - s / \tau_{s}(u)$$

MRM: Voltage-Controlled Resistances/SSV

$$\tau_{v}^{-}(u) = H^{-}(u,\theta_{o}) \tau_{v_{1}}^{-} + H^{+}(u,\theta_{o}) \tau_{v_{2}}^{-}$$

$$\tau_{s}(u) = H^{-}(u,\theta_{w}) \tau_{s_{1}} + H^{+}(u,\theta_{w}) \tau_{s_{2}}$$

$$\tau_{o}(u) = H^{-}(u,\theta_{o}) \tau_{o_{1}} + H^{+}(u,\theta_{o}) \tau_{o_{2}}$$



MRM: Voltage-Controlled Resistances/SSV

MRM: Voltage-Controlled Resistances/SSV

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{v}^{-}(u) &= H^{-}(u,\theta_{o}) \ \tau_{v_{1}}^{-} + H^{+}(u,\theta_{o}) \ \tau_{v_{2}}^{-} \\ \tau_{s}(u) &= H^{-}(u,\theta_{w}) \ \tau_{s_{1}} + H^{+}(u,\theta_{w}) \ \tau_{s_{2}} \end{aligned}$$
Piecewis

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{o}(u) &= H^{-}(u,\theta_{o}) \ \tau_{o_{1}} + H^{+}(u,\theta_{o}) \ \tau_{o_{2}} \\ \tau_{o}^{-}(u) &= \tau_{w_{1}}^{-} + (\tau_{w_{2}}^{-} - \tau_{w_{1}}^{-}) \ S^{+}(u,u_{s},k_{w}^{-}) \\ \tau_{so}(u) &= \tau_{so_{1}} + (\tau_{so_{2}} - \tau_{so_{1}}) \ Piecewis \\ e \ Constant \\ v_{\infty}(u) &= H^{-}(u,\theta_{o}) \ e^{-\tau_{so_{1}}} \ Piecewis \\ e \ Constant \\ w_{\infty}(u) &= H^{-}(u,\theta_{o}) \ (1-u/\tau_{w\infty}) + H^{+}(u,\theta_{o}) \ w_{\infty}^{*} \end{aligned}$$

MRM: Scaled Steps and Sigmoids

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{v}^{-}(u) &= H^{+}(u,\theta_{o},\tau_{v_{1}}^{-},\tau_{v_{2}}^{-}) \\ \tau_{s}(u) &= H^{+}(u,\theta_{w},\tau_{s_{1}},\tau_{s_{2}}) \\ \tau_{o}(u) &= H^{+}(u,\theta_{o},\tau_{o_{1}},\tau_{o_{2}}) \end{aligned}$$

MRM: Scaled Steps and Sigmoids

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{v}^{-}(u) &= H^{+}(u,\theta_{o},\tau_{v_{1}}^{-},\tau_{v_{2}}^{-}) \\ \tau_{s}(u) &= H^{+}(u,\theta_{w},\tau_{s_{1}},\tau_{s_{2}}) \\ \tau_{o}(u) &= H^{+}(u,\theta_{o},\tau_{o_{1}},\tau_{o_{2}}) \\ \tau_{w}^{-}(u) &= S^{+}(u,u_{s},k_{w}^{-},\tau_{w_{1}}^{-},\tau_{w_{2}}^{-}) \\ \tau_{so}(u) &= S^{+}(u,u_{s},k_{so},\tau_{so_{1}},\tau_{so_{2}}) \end{aligned}$$

 \sim









$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{v} &\leq u < u_{s} \\ \theta_{v} &\leq u < u_{s} \\ \theta_{w} &\leq u < \theta_{v} \\ \theta_{w} &\leq u < \theta_{w} \\ \theta_{w} &= \nabla (D\nabla u) + ws / \tau_{si} - 1/\tau_{so}(u) \\ \theta_{w} &\leq u < \theta_{w} \\ \theta_{w} &\leq u < \theta_{w} \\ \theta_{w} &\leq u < \theta_{w} \\ \theta_{w} &= (S^{+}(u,u_{s},k_{s}) - s) / \tau_{s_{2}} \\ \theta_{w} &= (S^{+}(u,u_{s},k_{s}) - s) / \tau_{w_{1}} \\ \theta_{w} &= (1 - u / \tau_{w_{w}} - w) / \tau_{w}^{-}(u) \\ \theta_{w} &= (S^{+}(u,u_{s},k_{s}) - s) / \tau_{s_{1}} \\ \theta_{w} &= (S^{+}(u,u_{s},k_{s}) - s) / \tau_{w} \\ \theta_{w} &= (S^{+}(u,u_{s},k_{s}) - s) / \tau_{s_{1}} \\ \theta_{w} &= (S^{+}(u,u_{s},k_{s})$$

Sigmoid Closure Property

Theorem: For ab > 0, scaled sigmoids are closed under the reciprocal operation: $\ln(a)$

$$S^{+}(u,k,\theta,a,b)^{-1} = S^{-}(u,k,\theta + \frac{\ln(-)}{2k},\frac{1}{b},\frac{1}{a})$$

Sigmoid Closure Property

Theorem: For ab > 0, scaled sigmoids are closed under the reciprocal operation: $1 a^{\alpha}$

$$S^{+}(u,k,\theta,a,b)^{-1} = S^{-}(u,k,\theta + \frac{m(-)}{2k},\frac{1}{b},\frac{1}{a})$$

a' $S^+(u,k,\theta,a,b)$ $\int b - a$ **Proof:** $S^{+}(u,k,\theta,a,b)^{-1} = (a + \frac{b-a}{1+e^{-2k(u-\theta)}})^{-1}$ θ

Sigmoid Reciprocal Closure

Theorem: For a*b > 0, scaled sigmoids are closed under the reciprocal operation:

$$S^{+}(u,k,\theta,a,b)^{-1} = S^{-}(u,k,\theta + \frac{\ln(-)}{2k},\frac{1}{b},\frac{1}{a})$$



Removing Divisions using Sigmoid Reciprocal:

 $\tau_{w}^{-} = S^{-}(u, k_{w}^{-}, u_{w}^{-}, \tau_{w_{1}}^{-}, \tau_{w_{2}}^{-}) \qquad g_{w}^{-} = 1 / \tau_{w}^{-} = S^{+}(u, k_{w}^{-}, u_{w}^{+}, \tau_{w_{1}}^{-1}, \tau_{w_{2}}^{-1})$



Removing Divisions using Sigmoid Reciprocal:

 $\begin{aligned} \tau_{w}^{-} &= S^{-}(u, k_{w}^{-}, u_{w}^{-}, \tau_{w_{1}}^{-}, \tau_{w_{2}}^{-}) & g_{w}^{-} &= 1 / \tau_{w}^{-} &= S^{+}(u, k_{w}^{-}, u_{w}^{+}, \tau_{w_{1}}^{-1}, \tau_{w_{2}}^{-1}) \\ \tau_{so} &= S^{-}(u, k_{so}, u_{so}, \tau_{so_{1}}, \tau_{so_{2}}) & g_{so} &= 1 / \tau_{s0} &= S^{+}(u, k_{so}, u_{so}^{+}, \tau_{so_{1}}^{-1}, \tau_{so_{2}}^{-1}) \end{aligned}$



Removing Divisions using Sigmoid Reciprocal:

 $\begin{aligned} \tau_{w}^{-} &= S^{-}(u, k_{w}^{-}, u_{w}^{-}, \tau_{w_{1}}^{-}, \tau_{w_{2}}^{-}) & g_{w}^{-} &= 1 / \tau_{w}^{-} &= S^{+}(u, k_{w}^{-}, u_{w}^{+}, \tau_{w_{1}}^{-1}, \tau_{w_{2}}^{-1}) \\ \tau_{so} &= S^{-}(u, k_{so}, u_{so}, \tau_{so_{1}}, \tau_{so_{2}}) & g_{so} &= 1 / \tau_{s0} &= S^{+}(u, k_{so}, u_{so}^{+}, \tau_{so_{1}}^{-1}, \tau_{so_{2}}^{-1}) \end{aligned}$

Removing Divisions using Step Reciprocal:

$$\tau_{v}^{-} = H^{+}(u, \theta_{o}, \tau_{v_{1}}^{-}, \tau_{v_{2}}^{-}) \qquad g_{v}^{-} = 1/\tau_{v}^{-} = H^{-}(u, \theta_{o}, \tau_{v_{1}}^{-1}, \tau_{v_{2}}^{-1})$$

$$\tau_{o} = H^{-}(u, \theta_{o}, \tau_{o_{1}}, \tau_{o_{2}}) \qquad g_{o} = 1/\tau_{o} = H^{+}(u, \theta_{o}, \tau_{o_{1}}^{-1}, \tau_{o_{2}}^{-1})$$

 $v_{\infty} = H^{-}(u, \theta_{o}, 0, 1) \qquad w_{\infty} = H^{-}(u, \theta_{o}, 0, 1) (1 - ug_{w\infty}) + H^{+}(u, \theta_{o}, 0, w_{\infty}^{*})$



Removing Divisions using Sigmoid Reciprocal:

 $\begin{aligned} \tau_{w}^{-} &= S^{-}(u, k_{w}^{-}, u_{w}^{-}, \tau_{w_{1}}^{-}, \tau_{w_{2}}^{-}) & g_{w}^{-} &= 1 / \tau_{w}^{-} = S^{+}(u, k_{w}^{-}, u_{w}^{+}, \tau_{w_{1}}^{-1}, \tau_{w_{2}}^{-1}) \\ \tau_{so} &= S^{-}(u, k_{so}, u_{so}, \tau_{so_{1}}, \tau_{so_{2}}) & g_{so} &= 1 / \tau_{s0} = S^{+}(u, k_{so}, u_{so}^{+}, \tau_{so_{1}}^{-1}, \tau_{so_{2}}^{-1}) \end{aligned}$

Removing Divisions using Step Reciprocal:



Minimal Conductance Model (MCM)



Gene Regulatory Networks (GRN)

GRN canonical sigmoidal form:

$$\dot{u}_i = \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} a_{ij} \prod_{k=1}^{n_j} S^{\pm}(u_k, k_k, \theta_k, a_k, b_k) - b_i u_i$$

Gene Regulatory Networks (GRN)

GRN canonical sigmoidal form:

$$\dot{u}_i = \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} a_{ij} \prod_{k=1}^{n_j} S^{\pm}(u_k, k_k, \theta_k, a_k, b_k) - b_i u_i$$

where:

- a_{ii} : are activation / inhibition constants
- \boldsymbol{b}_i : are decay constants
- $S^{\pm}(..)$: are on / off sigmoidal functions

Gene Regulatory Networks (GRN)

GRN canonical sigmoidal form:

$$\dot{u}_i = \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} a_{ij} \prod_{k=1}^{n_j} S^{\pm}(u_k, k_k, \theta_k, a_k, b_k) - b_i u_i$$

where:

- a_{ii} : are activation / inhibition constants
- \boldsymbol{b}_i : are decay constants
- $S^{\pm}(..)$: are on / off sigmoidal functions

Note: steps and ramps are sigmoid approximations

Optimal Polygonal Approximation

Given: One nonlinear curve and desired # segments Find: Optimal polygonal approximation

Optimal Polygonal Approximation

Given: One nonlinear curve and desired # segments Find: Optimal polygonal approximation

Example: What is the optimal polygonal approximation of the blue curve with 3 segments ?


Given: One nonlinear curve and desired # segments Find: Optimal polygonal approximation



Given: One nonlinear curve and desired # segments Find: Optimal polygonal approximation



Given: One nonlinear curve and desired # segments Find: Optimal polygonal approximation



Given: One nonlinear curve and desired # segments Find: Optimal polygonal approximation



Given: One nonlinear curve and desired # segments Find: Optimal polygonal approximation

Example: What is the optimal polygonal approximation of the blue curve with 3 segments ?



Dynamic Programming Algorithm

- Complexity: O(P²)
- P: # points of the curve



Given: One nonlinear curve and desired # segments Find: Optimal polygonal approximation

Example: What is the optimal polygonal approximation of the blue curve with 3 segments ?



Dynamic Programming Algorithm

- Complexity: O(P²)
- P: # points of the curve



Given: One nonlinear curve and desired # segments Find: Optimal polygonal approximation

Example: What is the optimal polygonal approximation of the blue curve with 3 segments ?



Dynamic Programming Algorithm

- Complexity: O(P²)
- P: # points of the curve

Given: One nonlinear curve and desired # segments Find: Optimal polygonal approximation

Example: What is the optimal polygonal approximation of the blue curve with 3 segments ?



Dynamic Programming Algorithm

- Complexity: O(P²)
- P: # points of the curve



Given: One nonlinear curve and desired # segments Find: Optimal polygonal approximation

Example: What is the optimal polygonal approximation of the blue curve with 3 segments ?



Dynamic Programming Algorithm

- Complexity: O(P²)
- P: # points of the curve



Given: Set of nonlinear curves and desired # of segments

Find: Globally optimal polygonal approximation

Given: Set of nonlinear curves and desired # of segments Find: Globally optimal polygonal approximation





Given: Set of nonlinear curves and desired # of segments Find: Globally optimal polygonal approximation

Example: What is the optimal polygonal approximation of the curves below with 5 segments ?



Combining the two we obtain 8 segments and not 5 segments

Given: Set of nonlinear curves and desired # of segments Find: Globally optimal polygonal approximation

Example: What is the optimal polygonal approximation of the curves below with 5 segments ?



Solution: modify the OPAA to minimize the maximum error of a set of curves simultaneously.

$$(\theta_{v} \leq u \leq u_{u})$$

$$(\theta_{v} \leq u \leq u_{u})$$

$$(\theta_{v} \leq u \leq u_{u})$$

$$(\theta_{v} = e + (u - \theta_{v})(u_{u} - u)v g_{fi} + ws g_{si} - g_{so}(u)$$

$$(\theta_{v} = -v g_{v}^{+})$$

$$(\theta_{v} \leq u < \theta_{v})$$

$$(\theta_{w} = e + ws g_{si} - g_{so}(u))$$

$$(\theta_{w} = -v g_{v_{2}}^{+})$$

$$(\theta_{v} = -v g_{v_{2}}^{+})$$

$$(\theta_{v} \leq u < \theta_{w})$$

$$(\theta_{v} = e - u g_{o_{2}})$$

$$(\theta_{v} \leq u < \theta_{w})$$

$$(\theta_{v} = -v g_{v_{2}}^{-})$$

$$(\theta_{v} = -$$



 $(\theta_{u} \leq u < u_{u})$ $u = e + (u - \theta_v)(u_u - u)v g_{fi} + ws g_{si} - g_{so}(u)$ $\& = -v g_{u}^{+}$ $w = -w g_{w}^{+}$ $\& = S^+(u, k_s, u_s, 0, 1) g_{s_2} - s g_{s_2}$ $\theta_{w} \leq u < \theta_{v}$ $u < \theta_v \left[u = e + ws \ g_{si} - g_{so}(u) \right]$ $u \ge \theta_{v}$ & $= -v g_{v_{2}}^{-}$ $u = -w g_w^+$ $\int \mathbf{k} = S^+(u, k_s, u_s, 0, 1) g_{s_1} - s g_{s_2}$ $\theta_{a} \leq u < \theta_{w}$ $u = e - u g_{o_2}$ $u < \theta_{w}$ $\mathbf{k} = -v g_{v_0}^{-}$ $u \geq \theta_w$ $w = (w_{\infty}^* - w) g_{w}(u)$ $\mathbf{\&} = S^{+}(u, k_{s}, u_{s}) g_{s_{1}} - s g_{s_{1}}$ $0 \leq u < \theta_{0}$ $u < \theta_o$ $u \ll e - u g_{o_1}$ $\mathbf{k} = (1 - v) g_{v_1}^{-}$ $u \ge \theta_o$ $w = (1 - u g_{w_{x}} - w) g_{w}(u)$ $\mathbf{\&} = S^{+}(u, k_{s}, u_{s}) g_{s_{1}} - s g_{s_{1}}$









2D Comparison



- Find parameter ranges reproducing non-excitability:
 - Restated as an LTL formula: $G(u < \theta_v)$

- Find parameter ranges reproducing non-excitability:
 - Restated as an LTL formula: $G(u < \theta_v)$
- Initial region:

 $u \in [0, \theta_1]$ $v \in [0.95, 1]$ $w \in [0.95, 1]$ $s \in [0, 0.01]$

• Find parameter ranges reproducing non-excitability:

- Restated as an LTL formula: $G(u < \theta_v)$

• Initial region:

 $u \in [0, \theta_1]$ $v \in [0.95, 1]$ $w \in [0.95, 1]$ $s \in [0, 0.01]$

• Uncertain parameter ranges:

 $g_{o_1} \in [1,180] \ g_{o_2} \in [0,10] \ g_{si} \in [0.1,100] \ g_{so} \in [0.9,50]$

- Find parameter ranges reproducing non-excitability:
 - Restated as an LTL formula: $G(u < \theta_v)$
- Initial region:

 $u \in [0, \theta_1]$ $v \in [0.95, 1]$ $w \in [0.95, 1]$ $s \in [0, 0.01]$

Uncertain parameter ranges:

 $g_{o_1} \in [1, 180] \ g_{o_2} \in [0, 10] \ g_{si} \in [0.1, 100] \ g_{so} \in [0.9, 50]$

• Stimulus: e=1

State Space Partition



- Hyperrectangles: 4 dimensional (uv-projection)
 - Arrows: indicate the vector field

Embedding Transition System T_x(p)



- $\forall t \in [0,\tau]. \ \xi(t) \in rect(x) \cup rect(x')$
- rect(x) is adjacent to rect(x')

The Discrete Abstraction T_R(p)



The Discrete Abstraction T_R(p)



Computing T_R(p)



Theorem: If *f* is multi-affine then

 $\forall x \in R. f(x) \in cHull(\{f(v) \mid v \in V_R\})$

Computing T_R(p)



Theorem: If *f* is multi-affine then $\forall x \in R. f(x) \in cHull(\{f(v) | v \in V_R\})$

Corollary:



Partitioning the Parameter Space

• In each vertex: affine equation in the parameters

$$\dot{u} = 1 - u g_{o_1} = 0$$



Partitioning the Parameter Space

• In each vertex: affine equation in the parameters



- Parameter space: 4 dimensional (g₀₁/g₀₂ projection)
 - Each rectangle: a different transition system

Results

Rovergene: intelligently explores the PS rectangles



Conclusions and Outlook

- First automatic parameter-range identification for CC
 - Validated both in MCM and MRM
 - Can be validated experimentally as for ischemia

Conclusions and Outlook

- First automatic parameter-range identification for CC
 - Validated both in MCM and MRM
 - Can be validated experimentally as for ischemia
- Currently work on time-dependent properties of CC
 - Extend SpaceEx with RoverGene MA-techniques

Conclusions and Outlook

- First automatic parameter-range identification for CC
 - Validated both in MCM and MRM
 - Can be validated experimentally as for ischemia
- Currently work on time-dependent properties of CC
 - Extend SpaceEx with RoverGene MA-techniques
 - Moving towards 2D/3D parameter-range identification
 - Use PS partitioning, simulation and curvature analysis
- First automatic parameter-range identification for CC
 - Validated both in MCM and MRM
 - Can be validated experimentally as for ischemia
- Currently work on time-dependent properties of CC
 - Extend SpaceEx with RoverGene MA-techniques
 - Moving towards 2D/3D parameter-range identification
 - Use PS partitioning, simulation and curvature analysis



- First automatic parameter-range identification for CC
 - Validated both in MCM and MRM
 - Can be validated experimentally as for ischemia
- Currently work on time-dependent properties of CC
 - Extend SpaceEx with RoverGene MA-techniques
 - Moving towards 2D/3D parameter-range identification
 - Use PS partitioning, simulation and curvature analysis



- First automatic parameter-range identification for CC
 - Validated both in MCM and MRM
 - Can be validated experimentally as for ischemia
- Currently work on time-dependent properties of CC
 - Extend SpaceEx with RoverGene MA-techniques
 - Moving towards 2D/3D parameter-range identification
 - Use PS partitioning, simulation and curvature analysis



- First automatic parameter-range identification for CC
 - Validated both in MCM and MRM
 - Can be validated experimentally as for ischemia
- Currently work on time-dependent properties of CC
 - Extend SpaceEx with RoverGene MA-techniques
 - Moving towards 2D/3D parameter-range identification
 - Use PS partitioning, simulation and curvature analysis



- First automatic parameter-range identification for CC
 - Validated both in MCM and MRM
 - Can be validated experimentally as for ischemia
- Currently work on time-dependent properties of CC
 - Extend SpaceEx with RoverGene MA-techniques
 - Moving towards 2D/3D parameter-range identification
 Use PS partitioning, simulation and curvature analysis



Derive the MRM from lyer model through TS abstraction